3. Letter No. L. 13971-14087—Ml. 145-52-7, dated 25th November 1952, directing the Municipal Councils to earmark the income from water-tax for the repayment of loan.

ORDER No. L. 16362-453—ML. 145-52-20, DATED BANGALORE, THE 26TH DECEMBER 1952.

Representations are being made to Government that the Municipal Councils in the State with their limited resources are unable to take up improvement works without the aid from Government by way of grants or loans. This question has also been discussed at successive sessions of the Legislature.

2. Government with a view to have a clear idea of the various improvement works which the Municipalities wanted to undertake, requested them in the Circular of 24th July 1952 through the Deputy Commissioners of Districts, to indicate the expenditure which they had to incur for (1) water supply (2) drainage and (3) other important and urgent works. According to the information furnished the funds required for the above works in the Corporation, the City and Town Municipalities aggregate to Rs. 5,27,29,196.

3. Government have examined these schemes and they have come to the conclusion that priority should be given to the execution of water supply schemes. With a view to implementing this decision, the matter was placed before the last session of the Legislature during October 1952. A supplemental grant of Rs. 50,00,000 has been voted for the above purpose. This additional allotment of Rs. 50,00,000 is proposed to be distributed during this year among the several Municipal Councils as a loan on the following basis :—

1.	Estimates amounting to Rs. 50,000 and below ...	Full amount of the estimate.
2.	Do exceeding Rs. 50,000 upto Rs. 1,00,000 ...	Half of the estimated cost.
3.	Do exceeding Rs. 1,00,000 and above ...	One-fourth of the estimated cost.

Government are pleased to order the distribution of the loan, as per statement appended, subject to the following terms, *viz* :—

1. That the loan is repayable within a maximum period of fifteen years.
2. That interest is payable at 5 (five) per cent per annum on the loan amount.
3. That provision should be made in the budgets of the municipalities for the repayment of the loan which will be a first charge on the Municipality.

4. The balance of the loan in respect of each Municipality will be sanctioned in the coming years as and when the work progresses.

5. The Accountant-General, Mysore, Bangalore, is requested to permit the Municipal Councils to draw the loan amount now sanctioned during the course of the year 1952-53, provided the Municipal Councils concerned agree by a specific resolution to abide by the terms enumerated above and such resolutions are countersigned by the Deputy Commissioners of the Districts.

6. The Chief Engineer of Mysore is requested to obtain technical sanction to the estimates for the water supply schemes within one month. In some cases only approximate estimates have been received. Detailed estimates should be prepared in such cases and technical sanction by competent authorities obtained. After technical sanction is accorded, tenders should be called for within 15 days from the date of technical sanction and all the works should be started during the first week of February 1953 without fail.

7. About the equipment necessary, the Chief Engineer is requested to work out the details regarding the requirements of machinery and pumps, etc., to take up the work from February 1953 and to complete all the schemes within the next three years. He is requested to submit suitable proposals to Government before the 15th of January 1953.

8. Government are anxious to complete the water supply schemes in the State as early as possible and the Chief Engineer is requested to see that there is no delay in the execution of the scheme. If there are delays resulting in revision

of estimates, Government would view the action of concerned officers with displeasure. The Chief Engineer is also requested to carefully examine in each case if avoidable items of work can be eliminated and the cost reduced.

9. This Order may be construed as an accordal of administrative sanction to the works listed in the enclosed statement, and this need not be referred to the Administrative Secretariat individually for approval.

10. The Commissioner for Local Self-Government and the Deputy Commissioners of Districts are requested to see that the loans sanctioned are drawn by the Municipal Councils and placed at the disposal of the Public Works Department for starting the work without delay and to take such action as is necessary for completing the works early. The Commissioner for Local Self-Government is requested to watch the progress of works done and intimate Government by the 10th of every month the progress achieved in the previous month. He is also requested to see that the Municipalities take effective action to improve their finances, manage their affairs with prudence, and repay the loan amount on the due dates.

G. V. K. RAO,
Secretary to Government,
Local Self-Government Department.

ANNEXURE.

Statement showing the Distribution of Loans for the Improvement of Water Supply in Town Municipalities in Mysore State during the year 1952-1953.

Sl. No.	Name of the Municipality	Estimated cost of the Scheme	Amount of loan sanctioned during 1952-53	Remarks
		Rs.	Rs.	
	Bangalore District.—			
1	Channapatna ...	2,81,190	70,298	
2	Dodballapur ...	4,89,310	1,22,328	
3	Kanakapura ...	4,00,000	1,00,000	
4	Sarjapura ...	50,000	50,000	
5	Ramanagaram ...	1,21,500	60.750	This is a scheme sanctioned previously.
	Total ...	13,42,000	4,03,376	
	Kolar District.—			
1	Srinivasapura ...	82,800	41,400	
2	Bagepalli ...	93,300	46,650	
3	Chintamani ...	2,50,000	62,500	
4	Gudibanda ...	50,000	50,000	
5	Goribidnur ...	2,00,000	50,000	
6	Chickballapur ...	20,350	20,350	
7	Bangarapet ...	3,75,000	93,750	
8	Kolar ...	1,00,000	50,000	
	Total ...	11,71,450	4,14,650	
	Chitaldrug District.—			
1	Chitaldrug ...	4,03,000	1,00,750	
2	Challakere ...	80,000	40,000	
3	Molakalmuru ...	50,000	50,000	
4	Jagalur ...	55,100	27,550	
5	Naikanahatti ...	1,00,000	50,000	
6	Mayakonda ...	24,900	24,900	
7	Thuruvanoor ...	99,000	49,500	
	Total ...	8,12,000	3,42,700	
	Mandya District.—			
1	Srirangapatna ...	96,984	48,492	
2	Mandya ...	10,75 000	2,68.750	
3	Nagamangala ...	1,70,000	42,500	
4	Maddur ...	2,51,000	62,750	
5	Malavalli ...	6,37,000	1,59,250	
	Total ...	22,29,984	5,81,742	
	Tumkur District.—			
1	Tumkur ...	4,12,000	1,03,000	
2	Sira ...	4,71,600	1,17.900	
3	Madhugiri ...	75,000	37,500	
4	Kunigal ...	1,00,700	25,175	
5	Tiptur ...	1,20,534	30,133	
6	Gubbi ...	1,62,600	...	12,600 deposited by the Municipal Council already.
	Balance ...	1,50,000	37,500	
7	Chicknaikanahalli ...	1,50,000	37 500	
	Total ...	14,79,834	3,38,708	
	Shimoga District.— (Sanctioned s hemes)			
1	Incomplete Works.			
	Chennagiri ...	2,39,332	...	A sum of Rs. 20.000 has already been drawn during the year 1951-52.
	Balance ...	2,19,332	54,833	

ANNEXURE—*contd.*

Sl. No.	Name of the Municipality	Estimated cost of the Scheme	Amount of loan sanctioned during 1952-53	Remarks
		Rs.	Rs.	
2	Shimoga ...	8,19,060	...	A sum of Rs. 1,25,000 has already been drawn during the years 1951-52 and 1952-53.
	Balance ...	6,94,060	1,73,515	
	Shimoga District (Fresh Proposals).			
3	Honnali ...	3,40,000	85,000	
4	Thirthahalli ...	3,00,000	...	A sum of Rs. 80,560 sanctioned to the drainage scheme was drawn during 1951-52 and credited to P.W.D. This has been proposed to be utilised for water supply scheme.
	Balance ...	2,19,440	54,860	
5	Hosanagar ...	50,000	50,000	
6	Nyamathi ...	2,02,000	50,500	
7	Shiralakoppa ...	2,00,000	50,000	
8	Kumsi ...	17,540	17,540	
9	Bhadravathi ...	1,65,000	41,250	
10	Shikaripura ...	2,14,000	53,500	
	Total ...	23,21,372	6,30,998	
	Chickmagalur District (Incomplete Works).—			
1	Tarikere ...	2,90,900 (Government share of the cost, *i.e.*, Rs. 1,45,450 has been sanctioned. The balance due as loan is Rs. 1,45,450 as per Government Order No. L. 13403-7—Ml. 116-51-2, dated 19th February 1952).	45,000	Out of Rs. 1,45,450 a sum of Rs. 45,000 as recommended by the Deputy Commissioner, Chickmagalur District, is sanctioned. The balance amount will be paid next year.
	Balance	1,45,450		
2	Birur Rs. 1,50,000 *22,000	1,72,000	43,000	(*Amount due to the Municipality as per G.O. No. L. 11829-34—Ml. 38-52-6, dated 22—23rd October 1952.)
3	Koppa ...	37,250	37,250	A loan of 37,250 due to be paid as per G.O. No. L. 14188-82—Ml. 115-51-6, dated 1st March 1952, is sanctioned.
	Fresh Proposals.—			
4	Ajjampura ...	1,66,000	41,500	
5	Sringeri ...	90,000	45,000	
6	Kadur ...	6,00,000	1,50,000	
7	Mudigere ...	55,500	27,750	
8	Chickmagalur ...	6,00,000	1,50,000	
	Total ...	18,66,200	5,39,500	
	Hassan District.—			
1	Konanur (Fresh proposal).	78,400	39,200	
2	Arkalgud (do)...	1,21,835	30,460	
3	Holenarasipura (do)...	1,07,120	26,780	
4	Chennarayapatna (do)	21,600	21,600	
5	Arsikere ...	8,16,190	2,04,048	
6	Banavar ...	80,000	40,000	

ANNEXURE—*concld.*

Sl. No.	Name of the Municipality	Estimated cost of the Scheme	Amount of loan sanctioned during 1952-53	Remarks
		Rs.	Rs.	
7	Hassan (incomplete works) Estimate.	11,47,000	...	
	Amount given already	3,00,000	...	
	Balance ...	8,47,500	2,11,875	
	Total ...	20,72,645	5,73,963	
	Mysore District (Incomplete Work).—			
1	Nanjangud	6,25,625	...	
	Amount given already	1,92,500	...	
	Balance ...	4,33,125	1,08,281	
	Fresh Schemes.—			
2	Chamarajanagar ...	3,68,550	92,140	
3	Krishnarajanagar ...	4,39,500	1,09,875	
4	T.-Narasipur ...	3,04,700	76,175	
5	Heggaddevanakote ...	1,00,000	50,000	
6	Gundlupet ...	2,05,995	51,500	
7	Saligrama ...	60,000	30,000	
8	Agaramambalii ...	37,500	37,500	
9	Yelandur ...	50,000	50,000	
10	Mirle ...	50,000	50,000	
	Total ...	20,49,370	6,55,471	